

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 :  
G01N 21/88, G01B 11/16, 11/24

A1

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 00/28309

(43) International Publication Date:

18 May 2000 (18.05.00)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/KR99/00663

(22) International Filing Date: 5 November 1999 (05.11.99)

(30) Priority Data:  
1998/47291 5 November 1998 (05.11.98) KR

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [KR/KR]; 416, Maetan-3dong, Paldal-ku, Suwon City, Kyungki-do 442-742 (KR).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): KIM, Myoung, Jin [KR/KR]; 101-106 Hankook Apt., Maetan-4dong, Paldal-ku, Suwon City, Kyungki-do 442-374 (KR).

(74) Agent: HUH, Sung, Won; Shinwon Building, 8th floor, 823-14, Yoksam-dong, Kangnam-ku, Seoul City 135-080 (KR).

(81) Designated States: CA, US.

Published



With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: METHOD FOR INSPECTING INFERIORITY IN SHAPE

(57) Abstract

A method for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object is provided, which is accomplished through an inspection image obtained from an inspection object. The object shape inferiority inspection method includes the steps of: preparing at least one reference image for judgement as to shape inferiority in the inspection object considering an allowable error for shape; obtaining the inspection image from the inspection object; comparing grayscales of each one part, at least, of portions where the reference image and the inspection image mutually correspond, and judging whether inferiority in shape of the inspection object exists, based on the result of the grayscale comparison. Accordingly, the time required for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object could be greatly reduced and shape inferiority over the entire area of an object can be detected.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## METHOD FOR INSPECTING INFERIORITY IN SHAPE

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates to a method for inspecting inferiority in shape of such objects as semiconductor chips and lead wires, which is employed in semiconductor manufacturing processes, and more particularly, to a method for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object, in which shape inferiority of the object is inspected through a grayscale comparison operation,  
10 to thereby reduce the time required for inspection and lower the dependence upon a skilled worker in performing the inspection.

#### 2. Description of the Related Art

A two-dimensional measuring method for measuring the shape of an object has widely been used for production automation of goods such as  
15 semiconductor devices. According to prior art, a boundary area for measuring the shape of an object is detected and abnormality in the shape of the object is analytically discriminated using a predetermined measuring algorithm, in order to inspect whether the object is normal or abnormal in shape.

However, since the conventional method requires to pass through all  
20 the above procedures with respect to all the inspection objects, a large amount of time is consumed, and an inspection algorithm appropriate for shape of the inspection object should be implemented.

FIG. 1 is a schematic view for explaining an object shape inferiority inspection method by means of a conventional measurement-comparison  
25 method. As depicted, the conventional object shape inferiority inspection method employs an algorithm for inspecting inferiority in shape of the object

through the two steps of 'measurement' and 'comparison' according to the measurement-comparison method.

First, shape data on an inspection object 12 is measured using distribution of brightness of an image. For example, as shown in FIG. 1, a size "e" in a certain direction is measured in terms of the external appearance of the inspection object 12. Then, the measured data is compared with a reference data "d" of a reference model 11. If the measured size "e" is within the range of an allowable error of  $\pm\Delta d$  with respect to the reference data "d," it is discriminated that the inspection object 12 is normal in shape. Otherwise, it is discriminated that the inspection object 12 is abnormal in shape.

Although the object shape inferiority inspection method using the conventional measurement-comparison method has shown a precision in the unit of subpixel, the above processes should be repeated with respect to all measuring elements representing characteristics in shape of the inspection object. As a result, a large amount of time is needed for calculation in compliance with a measurement algorithm. A shape inferiority inspection is performed in such a manner that only a part of all the measuring elements indicating the characteristics in shape of the object is measured in order to reduce an inspection time. Accordingly, skill and experience are very important in setting the measuring elements to be measured, to accurately detect the shape inferiority. That is, the inspection is highly dependent on a skilled worker.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To solve the above problems, it is an object of the present invention to provide a method for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object in which even

an unskilled worker can easily detect inferiority in shape of an object.

To accomplish the above object of the present invention, there is provided a method for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object through an inspection image obtained from an inspection object, the object shape inferiority inspection method comprising the steps of preparing at least one reference image for judgment as to shape inferiority in the inspection object considering an allowable error for shape; obtaining the inspection image from the inspection object; comparing grayscales for each one part, at least, of portions where the reference image and the inspection image mutually correspond; and judging whether inferiority in shape of the inspection object exists, based on the result of the grayscale comparison.

It is preferable that said grayscale comparison step comprises comparison of brightness values of each corresponding pixel of the inspection image and the reference image.

Preferably, said reference image preparation step comprises the sub-steps of: obtaining a range of brightness for the pixel corresponding to a range of allowable error for a position value on a boundary line, on the basis of a function relation with a change in brightness of the pixel according to a change in a position value on the boundary line of the inspection object; and establishing and registering a minimum image whose brightness value is a minimum value of the brightness range and a maximum image whose brightness value is a maximum value of the brightness range, as the reference image.

It is preferable that said function relation considers existence of pixel noise; for example, said function relation is accomplished from addition of or subtraction of the pixel noise.

It is effective that the grayscale comparison operation for said grayscale comparison step is expressed as the following equation:

$$C(Q; U, L) = \text{II}[l(i, j) \leq q(i, j) \leq u(i, j)]$$

5

wherein  $C(Q; U, L)$  is a function for discriminating shape inferiority in an object, using the reference images  $U$  and  $L$ , when the inspection image  $Q$  is given,  $l(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the minimum image  $L$ ,  $q(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the inspection image  $Q$ , and  $u(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the maximum image  $U$ .

10

It is also effective that said inspection image and said reference image are expressed in terms of grayscale.

15

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The object and other advantages of the present invention will become more apparent by describing in detail the structures and operations of the present invention with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

20

FIG. 1 is a schematic view for explaining an object shape inferiority inspection method by means of a conventional measurement-comparison method;

FIG. 2 is a schematic view for explaining an object shape inferiority inspection method by means of a grayscale comparison method according to the present invention;

25

FIGs. 3a and 3b are graphical views for explaining a change in brightness of a pixel according to a position of a boundary line of an inspection

object;

FIGs. 4a and 4b are graphical views for explaining a process of obtaining a brightness range including an allowable error considering that pixel noise exists; and

5        FIG. 5 shows an image of a lead frame which is used for a shape inferiority inspection.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

A preferred embodiment of the present invention will be described in  
10    detail with reference to the accompanying drawings.

FIG. 2 is a schematic view for explaining an object shape inferiority inspection method by means of a grayscale comparison method according to the present invention.

Referring to FIG. 2, an object shape inferiority inspection method by  
15    means of a grayscale comparison method according to the present invention, is conducted as follows:

First, as a reference for a shape inferiority inspection, a reference image 22 is established and registered, in which the reference image 22 is expressed as a brightness value of each pixel expressed in terms of a  
20    grayscale with respect to a reference model 21. The reference image 22 includes a minimum image composed of pixels having minimum brightness values within an allowable error range for shape and a maximum image composed of pixels having maximum brightness values within an allowable error range for shape.

25        Then, a brightness value of each pixel in an inspection image 24 of an inspection object 23 which is obtained via a camera (not shown) is compared

with that of the established and registered reference image 22, in order to inspect whether the inspection object 23 is normal or abnormal in shape. If a brightness value of each pixel in an inspection image 24 is within the allowable error range of the brightness value in each corresponding pixel designated by the minimum image and the maximum image which are the reference image 22 of the reference model 21, it is discriminated that the inspection object is normal in shape. Otherwise, it is discriminated that the inspection object is abnormal in shape.

The reference image 22 which is used as a shape inferiority inspection reference is obtained through the following procedure:

FIGs. 3a and 3b are graphical views for explaining a change in brightness of a pixel according to a position of a boundary line forming the shape of an inspection object.

In FIG. 3a, a circle P indicated in a dotted line represents a pixel unit, and oblique lines  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  mean boundary lines of an inspection object 23. Assuming that a position value  $X$  is 0(zero) in a case that the boundary line  $I_1$  is circumscribed with a pixel  $P$  and the pixel  $P$  is not included in the inspection object 23, a position value  $X$  is 0.5 in a case that the boundary line  $I_2$  passes through the central area of the pixel  $P$ , and a position value is 1 in a case that the boundary line  $I_3$  is circumscribed with the pixel  $P$  and the pixel  $P$  is completely included in the inspection object 23, a brightness " $I$ " with respect to the position value " $X$ " varies as shown in FIG. 3b. Thus, if a brightness value " $b$ " is given, a position " $X$ " of a boundary line can be estimated at the corresponding area through the graph of FIG. 3b. Based on the bright values, it can be discriminated whether a pixel belongs to an inspection object, the former does not belong to the latter, or the former exists on a boundary line of



the latter.

In a case that the boundary line  $I_2$  of the inspection object 23 passes through the central area of a unit pixel  $P$ , a method for discriminating whether the boundary line  $I_2$  of the inspection object is positioned within the allowable error range  $\Delta X$  is as follows:

Through the pixel brightness graph  $I(x)$  shown in FIG. 3b, a boundary line position  $X$  of the reference model 21 is identified. Then, as shown in FIG. 4a, a brightness range  $\Delta b$  corresponding to the allowable error range  $\Delta X$  is obtained. Then, when a shape inferiority inspection for the inspection object 23 is performed, it is checked whether a brightness value of each corresponding pixel in the inspection image 24 is included in the brightness range  $\Delta b$ . If the brightness value of the corresponding pixel in the inspection image 24 is included in the brightness range  $\Delta b$  of a corresponding pixel of the reference image, it is discriminated that the shape of the inspection object 23 is normal. Otherwise, it is discriminated that the shape of the inspection object 23 is abnormal.

Meanwhile, pixel noise existing in an image causes an error in an inferiority inspection work while a set of processes as described above are being conducted. FIG. 4b is a graphical view for explaining a process of obtaining a brightness range,  $\Delta b'$ , considering existence of the pixel noise, showing that  $I(x) \pm \Delta n$  which is obtained by adding the pixel noise  $\pm \Delta n$  to the pixel brightness value  $I(x)$  of FIG. 4a.

In a case that pixel noise  $\Delta n$  exists, a boundary line position  $X$  corresponding to a brightness  $b'$  is given a range  $\Delta X_1$ , as shown in FIG. 4b. Here, considering a position allowable error  $\Delta X$ , the boundary line position becomes a range  $\Delta X_2$ , which equals  $\Delta X_1 + \Delta X$ . Thus, the range of the

brightness including the position allowable error  $\Delta X$  becomes  $\Delta b'$ .

When using the brightness range  $\Delta b'$ , a position of the boundary line actually distinguishable is  $\Delta X'$  due the pixel noise. Thus, the distinguishable position range due to the pixel noise is increased from  $\Delta X$  to  $\Delta X'$ . As the pixel noise is smaller, the distinguishable range  $\Delta X'$  converges to  $\Delta X$ .

The maximum value and the minimum value of the brightness range  $\Delta b'$  obtained through the above processes are used in forming a maximum image  $U$  and a minimum image  $L$ . As described above, these two images are used as the reference image 22 for discriminating shape inferiority in the inspection image 24.

Assuming that an inspection image is  $Q$ , and the maximum image and the minimum image as the reference image are  $U$  and  $L$ , respectively, a function  $C(Q;U,L)$  for discriminating shape inferiority in an object can be expressed as the following equation:

15

$$C(Q;U,L) = \prod [l(i,j) \leq q(i,j) \leq u(i,j)] \dots (1)$$

wherein  $l(i,j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i,j)$  of the minimum image  $L$ ,  $q(i,j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i,j)$  of the inspection image  $Q$ , and  $u(i,j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i,j)$  of the maximum image  $U$ .

In a case that a pixel  $P$  belongs to the background of the inspection image 24, that is, the boundary line of the inspection object 23 is  $l$ , and a pixel  $P$  belongs to the inspection image 24, that is, the boundary line of the inspection object 23 is  $l$ , an object shape inferiority calculation method performs the same procedure as in the case where a boundary line of an

inspection object is located on a pixel.

That is, a brightness range according to an allowable error in position is obtained from the graph of the pixel brightness  $I(x)$  with respect to the position as shown in FIG. 3b - the graph of FIG. 4b if pixel noise exists.

5 Based on the judgment as to whether a brightness value of each corresponding pixel in an inspection object is included in the obtained brightness range, the shape inferiority of the inspection object is discriminated. That is, the minimum brightness value and the maximum brightness value in the obtained brightness range are used in forming the minimum image and the  
10 maximum image, respectively.

The pixel brightness values of the maximum image and the minimum image which are reference images, formed through the above procedure, are compared with the brightness values of corresponding pixels in the inspection image, to thereby discriminate whether the inspection object is inferior in shape  
15 or not.

In judging whether shape inferiority of the inspection object is discriminated, if brightness values of all the pixels belonging to the inspected area are within an allowable error range determined by the minimum image and the maximum image, it is discriminated that the inspection object is normal  
20 in shape. Otherwise, it is checked that the inspection object is abnormal in shape.

However, the inspection area which is a collection of pixels whose brightness values are compared, in the inspection image of the inspection object, can be a full area of the inspection image or only a local area thereof,  
25 as necessary, and the reference image, that is, the maximum image and the minimum image can also have pixel brightness values only in a necessary

inspection area.

Meanwhile, the criteria to finally judge whether the inspection object is inferior in shape or not can be different as necessary, based on what the inspection object is. For example, pixels of 80% or more belonging to the inspection area have brightness values within the allowable error range, it can be judged that the inspection object is normal in shape.

The following Table 1 shows the experimental results using an image of a lead frame as shown in FIG. 5, following an object shape inferiority inspection method using a conventional measurement-comparison method and an object shape inferiority inspection method using a grayscale comparison method according to the present invention.

Table 1

Experimental results from a conventional measurement-comparison method and a grayscale comparison method according to the present invention.

Inspection method	Conventional method	Method by the present invention
Calculation time	6msec/one-time measurement	0.4 $\mu$ sec/one-time measurement
Inspection area	Local area	Full area
Remarks	Measuring position: dependent upon experience of the skilled worker	1. Various applications available 2. Inspection on foreign matters and pollution available

As can be seen from the above Table 1, the conventional method consumes 6 msec for one time measurement whereas the method according

to the present invention consumes  $0.4\mu\text{sec}$ . Thus, in a case that an image totaling to 300,000 pixels in the lead frame shown in FIG. 5 is inspected, the present invention method consumes 120 msec in measuring 20 portions at maximum, but the conventional method consumes 1,800 seconds (30 minutes) in measuring 20 portions at maximum. As such, the conventional method consumes a large amount of time, for which an inspection area has to be limited to a local area not the entire area.

As described above, the object shape inferiority inspection method according to the present invention reduces the conventional two-step process through measurement and comparison into a one-step process comparing grayscales of corresponding pixels. Accordingly, the time required for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object can be greatly reduced. A shape inferiority over the entire area of an object can be detected.

The object shape inferiority inspection method according to the present invention can be applied to a semiconductor manufacturing process which requires high-speed and high-precision since it can detect the shape inferiority as for an object having an allowable error of a subpixel unit. In addition, pollution occurring during a semiconductor manufacturing process and a product inferiority due to a foreign matter can be detected.

Although the present invention has been described in connection with a preferred embodiment thereof, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that additions, modifications, substitutions and deletions not specifically described may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for inspecting inferiority in shape of an object through an inspection image obtained from an inspection object, the object shape inferiority inspection method comprising the steps of:

- 5 preparing at least one reference image for judgement of shape inferiority in the inspection object considering an allowable error for shape;  
obtaining the inspection image from the inspection object;  
comparing grayscales for each one part, at least, of portions where the reference image and the inspection image mutually correspond; and  
10 judging whether inferiority in shape of the inspection object exists, based on the result of the grayscale comparison.

2. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 1, wherein said grayscale comparison step comprises comparison of brightness values of each corresponding pixel of the inspection image and the reference image.

- 15 3. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 2, wherein said reference image preparation step comprises the sub-steps of:

obtaining a range of brightness for the pixel corresponding to a range of allowable error for a position value on a boundary line, on the basis of a function relation with a change in brightness of the pixel according to a change  
20 in a position value on the boundary line of the inspection object; and

establishing and registering a minimum image whose brightness value is a minimum value of the brightness range and a maximum image whose brightness value is a maximum value of the brightness range, as the reference image.

- 25 4. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 3, wherein said function relation considers existence of pixel noise.

5. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 3, wherein said function relation is accomplished from addition of or subtraction of the pixel noise.


6. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 2, wherein the  
5 grayscale comparison operation for said grayscale comparison step is expressed as the following equation:

$$C(Q;U,L) = II[ l(i,j) \leq q(i,j) \leq u(i,j) ]$$

10 wherein  $C(Q; U,L)$  is a function for discriminating shape inferiority in an object, using the reference images  $U$  and  $L$ , when the inspection image  $Q$  is given,  $l(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the minimum image  $L$ ,  $q(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the inspection image  $Q$ , and  $u(i, j)$  is a brightness value of a pixel positioned at  
15 a coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the maximum image  $U$ .

7. The shape inferiority inspection method of claim 1, wherein said inspection image and said reference image are expressed in terms of grayscale.


1 / 4

FIG. 1  
(PRIOR ART)



2 / 4

FIG. 2



PCT

REQUEST

The undersigned requests that the present international application be processed according to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

For receiving Office use only

International Application No.

International Filing Date

Name of receiving Office and "PCT" International Application

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
(if desired) (12 characters maximum)

PS0116/PCT

Box No. I TITLE OF INVENTION

MEHTOD FOR INSPECTING INFERIORITY IN SHAPE

Box No. II APPLICANT

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.)

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

416, Maetan-3dong, Paldal-ku, Suwon City,  
Kyungki-do 442-742, Republic of Korea

☐ This person is also inventor.

Telephone No

82-331-200-3457

Facsimile No

82-331-200-3455

Teleprinter No

State (that is, country) of nationality:

KR

State (that is, country) of residence:

KR

This person is applicant  
for the purposes of:

☐ all designated  
States

☒ all designated States except  
the United States of America

☐ the United States  
of America only

☐ the States indicated in  
the Supplemental Box

Box No. III FURTHER APPLICANT(S) AND/OR (FURTHER) INVENTOR(S)

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.)

KIM, Myoung Jin

101-106 Hankook Apt., Maetan-4dong,  
Paldal-ku, Suwon City, Kyungki-do 442-374,  
Republic of Korea

This person is:

☐ applicant only

☒ applicant and inventor

☐ inventor only (If this check-box  
is marked, do not fill in below.)

State (that is, country) of nationality:

KR

State (that is, country) of residence:

KR

This person is applicant  
for the purposes of:

☐ all designated  
States

☐ all designated States except  
the United States of America

☒ the United States  
of America only

☐ the States indicated in  
the Supplemental Box

☐ Further applicants and/or (further) inventors are indicated on a continuation sheet.

Box No. IV AGENT OR COMMON REPRESENTATIVE; OR ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

The person identified below is hereby/has been appointed to act on behalf  
of the applicant(s) before the competent International Authorities as:

☒ agent

☐ common representative

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.)

HUH, Sung Won

Shinwon Bldg., 8F. 823-14, Yoksam-dong,  
Kangnam-ku, Seoul City 135-080,  
Republic of Korea

Telephone No.

82-2-3453-0509

Facsimile No.

82-2-3453-4289

Teleprinter No.

☐ Address for correspondence: Mark this check-box where no agent or common representative is/has been appointed and the space above is used instead to indicate a special address to which correspondence should be sent.

Box No. V DESIGNATION OF STATES

The following designations are hereby made under Rule 4.9(a) *(mark the applicable check-boxes, at least one must be marked)*

Regional Patent

- ☐ AP ARIPO Patent: GH Ghana, GM Gambia, KE Kenya, LS Lesotho, MW Malawi, SD Sudan, SL Sierra Leone, SZ Swaziland, UG Uganda, ZW Zimbabwe, and any other State which is a Contracting State of the Harare Protocol and of the PCT
- ☐ EA Eurasian Patent: AM Armenia, AZ Azerbaijan, BY Belarus, KG Kyrgyzstan, KZ Kazakhstan, MD Republic of Moldova, RU Russian Federation, TJ Tajikistan, TM Turkmenistan, and any other State which is a Contracting State of the Eurasian Patent Convention and of the PCT
- ☐ EP European Patent: AT Austria, BE Belgium, CH and LI Switzerland and Liechtenstein, CY Cyprus, DE Germany, DK Denmark, ES Spain, FI Finland, FR France, GB United Kingdom, GR Greece, IE Ireland, IT Italy, LU Luxembourg, MC Monaco, NL Netherlands, PT Portugal, SE Sweden, and any other State which is a Contracting State of the European Patent Convention and of the PCT
- ☐ OA OAPI Patent: BF Burkina Faso, BJ Benin, CF Central African Republic, CG Congo, CI Côte d'Ivoire, CM Cameroon, GA Gabon, GN Guinea, GW Guinea-Bissau, ML Mali, MR Mauritania, NE Niger, SN Senegal, TD Chad, TG Togo, and any other State which is a member State of OAPI and a Contracting State of the PCT *(if other kind of protection or treatment desired, specify on dotted line)*

National Patent *(if other kind of protection or treatment desired, specify on dotted line)*

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> AE United Arab Emirates                  | <input type="checkbox"/> LR Liberia                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AL Albania                               | <input type="checkbox"/> LS Lesotho                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AM Armenia                               | <input type="checkbox"/> LT Lithuania                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AT Austria                               | <input type="checkbox"/> LU Luxembourg                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AU Australia                             | <input type="checkbox"/> LV Latvia                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AZ Azerbaijan                            | <input type="checkbox"/> MD Republic of Moldova                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BA Bosnia and Herzegovina                | <input type="checkbox"/> MG Madagascar                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BB Barbados                              | <input type="checkbox"/> MK The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BG Bulgaria                              |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BR Brazil                                | <input type="checkbox"/> MN Mongolia                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BY Belarus                               | <input type="checkbox"/> MW Malawi                                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CA Canada                     | <input type="checkbox"/> MX Mexico                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CH and LI Switzerland and Liechtenstein  | <input type="checkbox"/> NO Norway                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CN China                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> NZ New Zealand                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CU Cuba                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> PL Poland                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CZ Czech Republic                        | <input type="checkbox"/> PT Portugal                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DE Germany                               | <input type="checkbox"/> RO Romania                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DK Denmark                               | <input type="checkbox"/> RU Russian Federation                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> EE Estonia                               | <input type="checkbox"/> SD Sudan                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ES Spain                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> SE Sweden                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FI Finland                               | <input type="checkbox"/> SG Singapore                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GB United Kingdom                        | <input type="checkbox"/> SI Slovenia                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GD Grenada                               | <input type="checkbox"/> SK Slovakia                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GE Georgia                               | <input type="checkbox"/> SL Sierra Leone                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GH Ghana                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> TJ Tajikistan                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GM Gambia                                | <input type="checkbox"/> TM Turkmenistan                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HR Croatia                               | <input type="checkbox"/> TR Turkey                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HU Hungary                               | <input type="checkbox"/> TT Trinidad and Tobago                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ID Indonesia                             | <input type="checkbox"/> UA Ukraine                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IL Israel                                | <input type="checkbox"/> UG Uganda                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IN India                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US United States of America       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IS Iceland                               |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> JP Japan                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> UZ Uzbekistan                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> KE Kenya                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> VN Viet Nam                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> KG Kyrgyzstan                            | <input type="checkbox"/> YU Yugoslavia                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> KP Democratic People's Republic of Korea | <input type="checkbox"/> ZA South Africa                              |
|   | <input type="checkbox"/> ZW Zimbabwe                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> KR Republic of Korea                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> KZ Kazakhstan                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> LC Saint Lucia                           |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> LK Sri Lanka                             |   |

Check-boxes reserved for designating States which have become party to the PCT after issuance of this sheet:

**Precautionary Designation Statement:** In addition to the designations made above, the applicant also makes under Rule 4.9(b) all other designations which would be permitted under the PCT except any designation(s) indicated in the Supplemental Box as being excluded from the scope of this statement. The applicant declares that those additional designations are subject to confirmation and that any designation which is not confirmed before the expiration of 15 months from the priority date is to be regarded as withdrawn by the applicant at the expiration of that time limit. *(Confirmation of a designation consists of the filing of a notice specifying that designation and the payment of the designation and confirmation fees. Confirmation must reach the receiving Office within the 15-month time limit.)*

Box No. VI PRIORITY CLAIM		<input type="checkbox"/> Further priority claims are indicated in the Supplemental Box		
Filing date of earlier application (day:month:year)	Number of earlier application	Where earlier application is:		
		national application country	regional application: regional Office	international application receiving Office
item (1) 5 November 1998 (05.11.1998)	Patent 1998-47291	KR		
item (2)				
item (3)				

☐ The receiving Office is requested to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau a certified copy of the earlier application(s) (only if the earlier application was filed with the Office which for the purposes of the present international application is the receiving Office) identified above as item(s).

\* Where the earlier application is an ARIPO application, it is mandatory to indicate in the Supplemental Box at least one country party to the Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, in which that earlier application was filed (Rule 4.10(b)(iii)). See Supplemental Box.

Box No. VII INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
Choice of International Searching Authority (ISA) (if two or more International Searching Authorities are competent to carry out the international search, indicate the Authority chosen; the two-letter code may be used)	Request to use results of earlier search; reference to that search of an earlier search has been carried out by or requested from the International Searching Authority.	
ISA: AT	Date (day:month:year)	Number Country (for regional Office)

Box No. VIII CHECK LIST; LANGUAGE OF FILING	
This international application contains the following number of sheets:	This international application is accompanied by the item(s) marked below
request: 3	1 <input type="checkbox"/> fee calculation sheet
description (excluding sequence listing part): 11	2 <input type="checkbox"/> separate signed power of attorney
claims: 2	3 <input type="checkbox"/> copy of general power of attorney; reference number, if any
abstract: 1	4 <input type="checkbox"/> statement explaining lack of signature
drawings: 4	5 <input type="checkbox"/> priority document(s) identified in Box No. VI as item(s)
sequence listing part of description:	6 <input type="checkbox"/> translation of international application into (language):
Total number of sheets: 21	7 <input type="checkbox"/> separate indications concerning deposited microorganism or other biological material
	8 <input type="checkbox"/> nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing in computer readable form
	9 <input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):

Figure of the drawings which should accompany the abstract: 4	Language of filing of the international application: English
---	--

Box No. IX SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AGENT	
Next to each signature, indicate the name of the person signing and the capacity in which the person signs (if such capacity is not obvious from reading the request):	
HUH, Sung Won	

For receiving Office use only		2. Drawings	
1. Date of actual receipt of the purported international application:		<input type="checkbox"/> received	
3. Corrected date of actual receipt due to later but timely received papers or drawings completing the purported international application:		<input type="checkbox"/> not received	
4. Date of timely receipt of the required corrections under PCT Article 11(2):			
5. International Searching Authority (if two or more are competent): ISA /	6. <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal of search copy delayed until search fee is paid.		

For International Bureau use only	
Date of receipt of the record copy by the International Bureau:	

3 / 4

FIG. 3a





FIG. 3b



4 / 4

FIG. 4a





FIG. 4b



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/KR 99/00663

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC<sup>7</sup>: G 01 N 21/88; G 01 B 11/16, 11/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC<sup>7</sup>: G 01 N 21/88, G 01 B 11/16, 11/24

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPI

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE 3314465 A1 (R. Bosch GmbH) 25 October 1984 (25.10.84), abstract; claims; page 4, line 1 to page 5, line 19; page 7, line 19 to page 9, line 12; fig.1	1,3
A	DE 3347645 C1 (Dr. Ing. L. Pietzsch GmbH) 10 October 1985 (10.10.85), abstract, claims; fig. 1,2,3,5.	1,2,7
A	US 5550933 A (G.D. Stetten), 27 August 1996 (27.08.96), abstract; column 1, lines 17 to 34; column 4, lines 35 to 50; claims, fig. 1 to 8	1,2
A	US 5305392 A (H.C. Longest) 19 April 1994 (19.04.94), totality.	1-4,7
A	US 4484081 A (W.S. Cornyn) 20 November 1984 (20.11.84) abstract; column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 29; claims, fig. 1,2,3.	1,3
A	EP 0465233 A2 (Westinghouse) 08 January 1992 (08.01.92), abstract; claims; fig. 1,2,4.	1,2,3,7

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☒ See patent family annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

„A“ document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

„E“ earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

„L“ document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

„O“ document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

„P“ document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

„T“ later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

„X“ document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

„Y“ document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

„&“ document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 February 2000 (25.02.00)

Date of mailing of the international search report

27 March 2000 (27.03.00)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT  
Austrian Patent Office  
Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna  
Facsimile No. 1/53424/200

Authorized officer

Erber

Telephone No. 1/53424/382

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/KR 99/00663

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE	A1	3314465	25-10-1984	none	
DE	C1	3347645	10-10-1985	EP A2 149852	31-07-1985
				EP A3 149852	28-08-1985
				IL A0 73947	31-03-1985
				IL A1 73947	10-09-1989
				JP A2 60215286	28-10-1985
				US A 4692943	08-09-1987
US	A	5550933	27-08-1996	none	
US	A	5305392	19-04-1994	none	
US	A	4484081	20-11-1984	AU A1 75176/81	25-03-1982
				BR A 8105987	08-06-1982
				CA A1 1175555	02-10-1984
				EP A2 48568	31-03-1982
				EP A3 48568	21-09-1983
				ES A1 505606	01-12-1982
				ES A5 505606	03-01-1983
				ES A1 8302911	16-04-1983
				IL A0 63781	31-01-1982
				IL A1 63781	31-03-1985
				JP A2 57118145	22-07-1982
				MX A 151484	03-12-1984
EP	A2	465233	08-01-1992	JP A2 4270950	28-09-1992
EP	A3	465233	25-11-1992	US A 5325443	28-06-1994